INTERPRETING DEEP CLASSIFIERS BY VISUAL DISTILLATION OF DARK KNOWLEDGE

Daniela Schacherer

SEMINAR: EXPLAINABLE MACHINE LEARNING

SUMMER TERM 2018

Content

- 1) Introduction
- 2) DarkSight
- 3) Experiments and Evaluation
- 4) Take Home

[Xu et al., 2018] Xu, K., Park, D. H., Yi, C., and Sutton, C. A. (2018). Interpreting deep classifier by visual distillation of dark knowledge. CoRR, abs/1803.04042.

Introduction

Interpretability of Deep Classifiers

• Can we see what the network "sees"?



Related work

- Knowledge distillation/model compression
 - Training a simpler model to generalize in the same way as a more complex model
- Dimension reduction
 - Transformation of high-dimensional data into a lower-dimensional space
 - e.g. PCA, multidimensional scaling, t-SNE, ...
- Interpreting deep networks
 - Compression of neural networks into more interpretable models like a decision tree
 - Interpretation via hidden activations or influence functions
- Visualizing deep networks
 - Feature visualization → visualize different layers learnt by the neural network (low-level features, mid-level features etc.)
 - Attribution methods → visualize how different parts of the input contribute to the final output (sensitivity maps)

t-SNE

- **t**-distributed **s**tochastic **n**eighbor **e**mbedding
- Basic idea: transform a list of high-dimensional vectors $x_i \dots x_n$ into a list of lower dimensional vectors $y_i \dots y_n$ (usually 2D) while keeping the relative similarity of instances.
- High dimensional space:

$$p_{i|j} = \frac{\exp(|x_i - x_j|^2 / 2 \sigma_i^2)}{\sum_{k \neq i} \exp(|x_i - x_k|^2 / 2 \sigma_i^2)} \longrightarrow p_{ij} = \frac{p_{j|i} + p_{i|j}}{2n}$$

Gaussian distributed

• Lower-dimensional space:

$$q_{ij} = \frac{\exp\left(\left|y_i - y_j\right|^2\right)}{\sum_{k \neq i} \exp\left(\left|y_i - y_k\right|^2\right)}$$
t-Student distributed

2n



• Minimize distance between the two similarity matrices using stochastic gradient descent

$$D(P||Q) = KL(P||Q) = \sum_{i,j} p_{ij} \log\left(\frac{p_{ij}}{q_{ij}}\right)$$

t-SNE/3

9

"We will observe that these (t-SNE) plots can be misleading because they contain well separated clusters even when, in fact, there are many points nearby the decision boundary"

Source: https://www.oreilly.com/learning/an-illustrated-introduction-to-the-t-sne-algorithm

DarkSight

"See what the network sees by performing dimension reduction and model compression jointly."

Dark Knowledge

• Classifier that outputs probabilistic predictions

```
pred = [cat:0.92, dog:0.03, car:0.01, ... ]
```

 Idea: full vector of class probability – not just the highest probability – contains implicit knowledge that the classifier has learned

```
pred1 = [cat:0.92, dog:0.06, car:0.01, ...]
pred2 = [cat:0.92, dog:0.01, car:0.06, ...]
Dark knowledge
```

• Dark knowledge can be extracted using model compression techniques

DarkSight

• Intention: visualize predictions of a black-box classifier in a lower-dimensional space

• Given:

Trained classifier *"Teacher"* \rightarrow produces probability distribution P_T(c|x)

• prediction vector for x_i : $\pi_i = P_T(c_i | x_i)$ Validation set $D_V = \{(x_i, c_i)\}$

- Task: visually summarize predictions made by the teacher for D_V
- Approach: combine dimension reduction and model compression



a. <u>Dimension reduction</u>: represent each point x_i /prediction π_i in a lower-dimensional space (here: 2D) as embedding y_i

b. <u>Model Compression</u>: train a simple and interpretable *"Student"* classifier $P_S(\cdot | y; \theta)$ in the low-dimensional space, θ : classifier parameters



Aim: Student's prediction vector should match the teacher's prediction vector

$$\rightarrow P_S(\cdot | y_i; \theta) \approx \pi_i$$

 \rightarrow optimize parameters of student classifier θ AND inputs of the student classifier $Y = \{y_i\}$ simultaneously

DarkSight – Objective

• We want to match the predictive distributions of teacher and student

$$L(Y,\theta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} D(P_{\mathrm{T}}(\cdot | x_i), P_{S}(\cdot | y_i; \theta))$$

• Xu et al. empirically found that symmetric Kullback-Leibler divergence works best

$$KL_{sym}(P,Q) = \frac{1}{2} \left(KL(P,Q) + KL(Q,P) \right)$$

$$KL(P,Q) = -\sum_{k=1}^{K} P(k) \log\left(\frac{Q(k)}{P(k)}\right)$$

DarkSight – "Student" model

• *"Student"* as Naive Bayes classifier:

$$P_{S}(c_{i} = k | y_{i}; \theta) = \frac{P(y_{i} | c_{i} = k; \theta_{c}) P(c_{i} = k; \theta_{p})}{P(y_{i} | \theta)}$$

- Advantage:
 - models data from each class separately \rightarrow embeddings are more likely to cluster well
- $P(y_i | c_i = k; \theta_c)$: non-centered Student's t-distribution $t_v(y_i | \mu_k, \Sigma_k)$
- Prior $P(c_i = k; \theta_p)$: Categorical distribution $Cat(c_i = k; \sigma(\theta_p))$

DarkSight – Summary

- Assign low-dimensional representation to every data point x such that the simpler "student" classifier can mimic the complicated "teacher" model (and we get an output in 2D space).
- Representations y_i and interpretable classifier are trained end-to-end by stochastic gradient descent (SGD)



DarkSight – new confidence measure

- Byeffect: DarkSight allows for a new confidence measure:
 - Intuition: If full prediction vector is unusual compared to the others then we should not trust the prediction
 - But density estimation on full prediction vector space is expensive → better: density estimation on embeddings
 - Formally: Kernel density estimation $\hat{p}_{KDE}(y_i)$
 - Usually used: predictive entropy $H[P_T(c_i|x_i)] = \sum_k p(c_i = k|x_i) \log p(c_i = k|x_i)$ \rightarrow but this does not take dark knowledge into account

$$\pi_1 = [cat:0.95, dog:0.03, ...]$$

 $\pi_2 = [cat:0.95, airplane:0.03, ...]$

Experiments and Evaluation

Design Principles

1. Cluster Preservation:

- Points in the low-dimensional space are clustered by the predicted label
- The prediction confidence of the classifier monotonically decreases from the cluster center to the outer borders of a cluster

2. Global Fidelity

 The relative position of clusters in the low-dimensional space is meaningful (nearby clusters get confused more likely)



Design Principles /2

3. Outlier Identification

• Data points with a nontypical predicted probability vector are easy to find in the low-dimensional space

4. Local Fidelity

 Points that are near to each other in the low-dimensional space have similar predicted probability vectors.



Experimental Setup

"Teacher" Classifier	Dataset	Test accuracy on dataset		
LeNet	MNIST	98.23 %		
VGG16	Cifar10	94.01 %		
Wide-ResNet	Cifar100	79.23 %		

- Comparison against *t-SNE*
 - *t-SNE prob:* uses the original predictive probability vectors
 - *t-SNE logit:* uses logits of predictive probability vectors = output of last layer before softmax
 - *t-SNE fc2:* uses final feature representations of the input = layer before logit

How does the model compression work?

How well can the student's model match the teacher's predictions? → Quality of model compression:

Table 2. Training results of DarkSight for different datasets. Note: Acc#ground is the accuracy towards true labels and Acc#teacher is the accuracy towards the predictions from the teacher.

DATASET	KL_{sym}	ACC#GROUND	ACC#TEACHER
MNIST	0.0703	98.2%	99.9%
CIFAR10	0.0246	94.0%	99.7%
CIFAR100	0.383	79.2%	99.9%

AccTeacher#Ground
98.23 %
94.01 %
79.23 %

Results on Cluster Preservation



(a) DarkSight





(c) t-SNE logit

Figure 1: Scatter plots generated by DarkSight/t-SNE for predictions of LeNet on MNIST. Points are coloured by predictive entropy. Dark points have large values. All plots show the same random subset (500 out of 10000 points).

• Expected:

- points close to the cluster center have higher confidence than points at cluster edges
- Observed:
 - DarkSight
 - t-SNE spreads points with high predictive confidence all over the cluster



Results on Cluster Preservation /2





Figure 2: Top: Scatterplot by DarkSight for predictions of VGG16 on Cifar10. Bottom: Predictive probabilities of points in the black box of 2a)

• Expected:

- Data from points between two clusters should look similar to both classes
- Observed:
 - Points in the box of Fig. 2a) form a transition from class blue to green → values of the two top probabilities in the prediction vector smoothly interchange with each other (see Fig. 2b).

Results on Cluster Preservation /2



Results on Cluster Preservation /3



Figure 3: Scatterplot by t-SNE prob (left) and t-SNE logit (right) for predictions of VGC16 on Cifar10. Marked points correspond to the points in the box of upper image in Figure 2.

• Expected:

- Data from points between two clusters should look similar to both classes
- Observed:
 - Points in the box of Fig. 2a) form a transition from class blue to green → values of the two top probabilities in the prediction vector smoothly interchange with each other (see Fig. 2b).
 - This can not be observed for the t-SNE visualization
 - DarkSight



Results on Global Fidelity

- Expected: global position of clusters in the lowdimensional space has a meaning
- **Observed** (based on the confusion matrix):
 - Both:
 - Classes which are close to each other are **often but not always** confused by the classifier
 - DarkSight shows global patterns:
 - Upper left: vehicles
 - Lower right: animals







Figure 4: Scatterplot by DarkSight for predictions of VGC16 on Cifar10

Results on Outlier Identification

- Expected: Outliers in DarkSight visualizations correspond to points with less reliable predictions → DarkSight confidence is a good measure for reliability of predictions
- Experiment:
 - A confidence measure is effective if the classifier is more accurate on predictions with high confidence
 - First: run density estimation (KDE, GME...) on embeddings → confidence
 - Second: apply teacher classifier \rightarrow when confidence $< \delta$ allow to reject that point without penalty on the performance



Results on Outlier Identification /2

• Observed:

- Density of DarkSight embeddings seems to be a more useful confidence measure than density of t-SNE embeddings
- DarkSight



 "Outlier detection can be done by simply picking instances on the corner of the scatter plot or using a confidence measure based on density of DarkSight embedding"



Results on Outlier Identification /3

Outlier-car

	P(c = c' x		
plane	0.006977		
car	0.839193		
bird	0.001256		
cat	0.004318		
deer	0.004286		
dog	0.000924		
frog	0.001379		
horse	0.000739		
ship	0.129261		
truck	0.011668		

Source Image Related



P(y) = 0.00025095485034398735

Outlier-car

P(c = c' | x)plane 0.007089 0.678131 car bird 0.002668 0.015343 cat 0.007963 deer 0.003062 dog frog 0.012875 horse 0.003693 ship 0.039254 truck 0.229924

Source Image Related



P(y) = 0.00003587365063140169

Outlier-dog



Source Image Related



P(y) = 0.00005148481432115659

Results on Local Fidelity

• **Expected:** predictive distributions of nearby points in the visualization are similar

• Observed:

- t-SNE prob & DarkSight > t-SNE logit & t-SNE fc2
- The performance of t-SNE seems to depend on the visualized quantities
- t-SNE better for low k, Darksight for high k
- DarkSight (





Figure 6: Local fidelity $M_k(Y)$ on MNIST as function of the number of neighbours k.

$$M_{k}(Y) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j \in NN_{k}(y_{i})} JSD(p_{i}, p_{j})$$

- $p_i = P_S(\cdot | y_i)$
- JSD = Jensen-Shannon distance
- $NN_k(y_i)$: set of indices of k nearest neighbours of y_i in the 2D space

Summary

DarkSight: 6 x 🕥

t-SNE: 3x 🗹

Table 1. Comparisons between DrakSight and t-SNE. Properties are defined in Section 1.1. $\sqrt{:}$ good, \times : bad and \sim : acceptable.

Method / Property	1	2	3	4	TIME
DARKSIGHT	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\sim	O(N)
T-SNE PROB	\sim	×	×	\checkmark	$O(N^2)$ OR $O(N \log N)$
T-SNE LOGIT	×	\sim	\sim	×	
T-SNE FC2	×	×	×	×	





LeNet on MNIST – colored by true label

http://xuk.ai/darksight/demo/mnist.html

LeNet on MNIST – colored by predicted label

Interpreting Deep Classifiers

Limitations



 $\pi_{1c} = [5:0.52, 0:0.44, \dots]$ $\pi_{1d} = [9:0.12, 3:0.11, 8: 0.08 \dots]$

Keep in mind that it is not possible

- to capture all the information of many dimensions in just 2 dimensions
- to visualize all multi-dimensional relations in 2 dimensions.

Interpreting Deep Classifiers

Take home

- DarkSight visualizes what the network sees by combining dimension reduction and model compression.
- Comparison against t-SNE proves that DarkSight provides additional useful information
- However, limitations have to be kept in mind: it is not possible to capture all the information of many dimensions in just 2 dimensions



References

- [Xu et al., 2018] Xu, K., Park, D. H., Yi, C., and Sutton, C. A. (2018). Interpreting deep classifier by visual distillation of dark knowledge. CoRR, abs/1803.04042.
- Demo: http://xuk.ai/darksight/demo/mnist.html / http://xuk.ai/darksight/demo/cifar.html
- Homepage: http://xuk.ai/darksight/
- [van der Maaten and Hinton, 2008] van der Maaten, L. and Hinton, G. (2008). Visualizing highdimensional data using t-sne.
- https://www.oreilly.com/learning/an-illustrated-introduction-to-the-t-sne-algorithm